

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/701,496		TOSAKI ET AL.
	Examiner		Art Unit
	ANISH DESAI		1788

All Participants:

(1) ANISH DESAI

(2) Ms. Yan Lan (Attorney)

Date of Interview: 20 October 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
3, 5, and 6

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Callie E. Shosho/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787
 /A. D./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1788

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: approx 2PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner stated that claims 3, 5, and 6 raises 112-second paragraph issues in view of newly amended claim 1. These issues are more specifically explained in the attached Office action. Additionally, the Examiner stated that if applicant cancels claims 3, 5, and 6, then the application will be in condition for allowance. Ms. Lan suggested that the Examiner issue an Office action so that applicant can review 112-second paragraph issues.